arXiv:1602.00060v1 [quant-ph] 30 Jan 2016

Non-Markovian discrete qubit dynamics

Jun Sun[1,2], **Yong-Nan Sun**[1,2], **Chuan-Feng Li**[1,2,7], **Guang-Can Guo**[1,2], **Kimmo Luoma**[3,5] **and Jyrki Piilo**[4,6]

[1]*Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, University of Science and Technology of China CAS Hefei, 230026, China*
[2]*Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China*
[3]*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062, Dresden, Germany*
[4]*Turku Centre for Quantum Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, FI-20014, Turun Yliopisto, Finland*
[5]*kimmo.luoma@tu-dresden.de*
[6]*jyrki.piilo@utu.fi*
[7]*cfli@ustc.edu.cn*

Abstract: The study of open quantum systems is important for fundamental issues of quantum physics as well as for technological applications such as quantum information processing. The interaction of a quantum system with it's environment is usually detrimental for the quantum properties of the system and leads to decoherence. However, sometimes a coherent partial exchange of information takes place between the system and the environment and the dynamics of the open system becomes non-Markovian. In this article we study discrete open quantum system dynamics where single evolution step consist of local unitary transformation on the open system followed by a coupling unitary between the system and the environment. We implement experimentally a local control protocol for controlling the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics.

© 2016 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (270.0270) Quantum optics; (270.1670) Coherent optical effects; (000.2658) Fundamental tests

References and links

[1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, "Theory of open Quantum Systems," (Oxford University Press, 2007).

[2] R. Alicki. and K. Lendi, "Quantum Dynamical Semigroups and Applications," Lecture Notes in Physics, (Springer-Verlag, 1987).

[3] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, "Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems," J. Math. Phys. **17**(5), 821-825 (1976).

[4] G. Lindblad, "On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups," Comm. Math. Phys. **48**(2), 119-130 (1976).

[5] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, "Quantum Noise," Springer Series in Synergetics, (Springer 1999).

[6] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, "Non-Markovian dynamics in open quantum systems," Rev. Mod. Phys.(to be published).

[7] M. M. Wolf and J. I. Cirac, "Dividing Quantum Channels," Comm. Math. Phys. **279**(1), 147-168 (2008).

[8] M. Wolf, J. Eisert, T. Cubitt, and J. Cirac, "Assessing Non-Markovian Quantum Dynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 150402 (2008).

[9] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, and J. Piilo, "Measure for the Degree of Non-Markovian Behavior of Quantum Processes in Open Systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 210401 (2009).

[10] Á. Rivas, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, "Entanglement and Non-Markovianity of Quantum Evolutions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 050403 (2010).

[11] S. Luo, S. Fu, and H. Song, "Quantifying non-Markovianity via correlations," Phys. Rev. A **86**, 044101 (2012).

[12] S. Lorenzo, F. Plastina, and M. Paternostro, "Geometrical characterization of non-Markovianity," Phys. Rev. A **88**, 020102 (2013).

[13] D. Chruściński and S. Maniscalco, "Degree of Non-Markovianity of Quantum Evolution," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 120404 (2014).

[14] B. Bylicka, D. Chruściński, and S. Maniscalco, "Non-Markovianity and reservoir memory of quantum channels: a quantum information theory perspective," Sci. Rep. **4**, 5720 (2014).

[15] F. A. Pollock, C. Rodríguez-Rosario, T. Frauenheim, M. Paternostro, and K. Modi,"Complete framework for efficient characterisation of non-Markovian processes," arXiv:1512.00589[cond-mat, physics:math-ph, physics:quant-ph] (2015).

[16] B.-H. Liu, L. Li, Y.-F. Huang, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, E.-M. Laine, H.-P. Breuer, and J. Piilo, "Experimental control of the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics of open quantum systems," Nat. Phys. **7**(12), 931-934 (2011).

[17] A. Smirne, D. Brivio, S. Cialdi, B. Vacchini, and M. G. A. Paris, "Experimental investigation of initial system-environment correlations via trace-distance evolution," Phys. Rev. A **84**, 032112 (2011).

[18] A. Chiuri, C. Greganti, L. Mazzola, M. Paternostro, and P. Mataloni, "Linear Optics Simulation of Quantum Non-Markovian Dynamics," Sci. Rep. **2**, 968 (2012).

[19] N. K. Bernardes, A. Cuevas, A. Orieux, C. H. Monken, P. Mataloni, F. Sciarrino, and M. F. Santos, "Experimental observation of weak non-Markovianity," Sci. Rep. **5**, 17520 (2015).

[20] L. Viola, E. Knill, and S. Lloyd, "Dynamical Decoupling of Open Quantum Systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**(12), 2417-2421 (1999).

[21] G. S. Uhrig, "Keeping a Quantum Bit Alive by Optimized π-Pulse Sequences," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 100504 (2007).

[22] D. A. Lidar, "Quantum Information and Computation for Chemistry," edited by S. Kais (John-Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2014) pp. 295-354.

[23] H. Jirari and W. Pötz, "Quantum optimal control theory and dynamic coupling in the spin-boson model," Phys. Rev. A **74**, 022306 (2006).

[24] P. Rebentrost, I. Serban, T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, and F. K. Wilhelm, "Optimal Control of a Qubit Coupled to a Non-Markovian Environment," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 090401 (2009).

[25] M. Lapert, Y. Zhang, M. Braun, S. J. Glaser, and D. Sugny, "Singular Extremals for the Time-Optimal Control of Dissipative Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Particles," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 083001 (2010).

[26] R. Schmidt, A. Negretti, J. Ankerhold, T. Calarco, and J. T. Stockburger, "Optimal Control of Open Quantum Systems: Cooperative Effects of Driving and Dissipation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 130404 (2011).

[27] B. Hwang and H.-S. Goan, "Optimal control for non-Markovian open quantum systems," Phys. Rev. A **85**, 032321 (2012).

[28] J.-S. Tai, K.-T. Lin, and H.-S. Goan, "Optimal control of quantum gates in an exactly solvable non-Markovian open quantum bit system," Phys. Rev. A **89**, 062310 (2014).

[29] K. Luoma and J. Piilo, "Discrete dynamics and non-Markovianity," arXiv:1509.04231 [quant-ph] (2015).

1. Introduction

Whenever quantum system is not perfectly isolated (up to experimental accuracy) it has to be treated as an open system [1]. The description of the open system dynamics is based on a family of completely positive and trace preserving maps (CPT), so called dynamical maps, governing the evolution of the state of the open system as $\rho \mapsto \rho' = \Phi\rho$ [2]. Dynamical maps are a standard tool to describe dechorence and dissipation phenomena. If the family of dynamical maps has semi-group property then its properties and structure are well known. The generator of the quantum dynamical semigroup in time continuous case is the famous Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindbald (GKSL) master equation [3, 4] which is the workhorse of the open quantum systems research [5]. Open systems falling into a category where their dynamics can be described with quantum dynamical semigroup are called Markovian [6].

In general the dynamical map is less structured, meaning the dynamical map may not necessarily be divisible, not even with positive maps [7]. Recently due to efforts to quantify quantum non-Markovianity [8–15] and technological advances [16–19], non-Markovian quantum processes have become a central topic in the study of open quantum systems. As a matter of fact, there exists different notions of quantum non-Markovianity where the key concepts include the breakdown of divisibility of the map. In general, reliastic quantum systems interact and exchange information with the environment. The engineering of the decoherence and flow of information between an open quantum system and its environment is the key to control the transition from Markovian dynamics to a regime with quantum memory effects

It is well known that by using dynamical decoupling techniques, where fast pulses are applied to the open system locally, the environmental effects may be eliminated [20–22]. This is one form of quantum control where in general controls are applied to the system of interest in order to minimize or maximize some objective functional [23–28]. Usually, the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics is related to strong coupling between the open system and the environment or complex spectra of the environment. In this work we report on experimental results regarding to the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics using local controls on open system coupled to a Markovian structureless environment. Our approach bears resemblance to the dynamical decoupling since we assume that the local control acts instantaniously but on the other hand we do not apply the control operations in rapid succession. Our goal is to transform the free evolution between local controls to be non-Markovian.

2. Theory

We conduct our experiments with single photons. The polarization states of the photon $|H\rangle$, $|V\rangle$ form a qubit and the frequency degree of freedom acts as an environment for the qubit. We prepare the initial state of the photon to be either $|H,\omega\rangle$ or $|V,\omega\rangle$. The frequency distribution of the photon $\chi(\omega,\omega) \equiv |\chi(\omega)|^2$ is well described with a single Gaussian with standard deviation σ. The spectral distribution is centered around the laser wavelength λ_0. Local control operations are unitaries acting only on the polarization degrees of freedom, they are implemented by half-wave plates described by the following unitary

$$C_\eta = \eta^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_z + (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_x, \tag{1}$$

where η is controlled by the tilt angle and Pauli matrices σ_i are written in the polarization basis. For each η we choose, the corresponding angle of the half-wave plate is given by $\varphi_\eta = 1/2 \arccos(\eta^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Simple theoretical model for the quartz plate is given by the following unitary coupling the qubit and the environment Hilbert spaces

$$U_{\delta t} = \sum_{k=H,V} \int \mathrm{d}\,\omega exp(in_k\omega\delta t)|k\rangle\langle k| \otimes |\omega\rangle\langle\omega|, \tag{2}$$

where δt is the interaction time of the photon with the environment and $\Delta n = n_H - n_V = 0.008995$ is the difference of the polarization dependent indices of refraction. Interaction time δt is related to the quartz plate thickness by $L = c\delta t$, where c is the speed of light. We express the interaction time of each of the quartz plates in terms of effective path difference $\Delta L = \Delta n L$ in the units of λ_0. Total unitary, or *operating unit*, corresponding to a single step is now described as $U_i \equiv U_{\delta t_i}(C_{\eta_i} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E)$. The dynamical map can be written as

$$\Phi_n(\rho_0) = \mathrm{tr}_E\left\{\mathscr{U}(n)(\rho_0 \otimes \chi)\mathscr{U}^\dagger(n)\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathscr{U}(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} U_{\delta t_i}(C_{\eta_i} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E)$. Non-Markovianity is detected by the temporal increase of distinguishability between the quantum states. Distinguishability between two quantum states ρ_1, ρ_2 is defined as $D(\rho_1,\rho_2) = \frac{1}{2}||\rho_1 - \rho_2||$ where $||\cdot||_1$ is the trace norm. Distinguishability is a monotonically decreasing function under positively divisible quantum dynamical maps [9]. We take this to be our definition of non-Markovianity. If we define the increment of the trace distance evolution as $\Delta_{1,2}(n) = D(\rho_1(n),\rho_2(n)) - D(\rho_1(n-1),\rho_2(n-1))$, where $\rho_i(n)$ is $\Phi_n(\rho_i(0))$, we obtain a measure for non-Markovianity as $\mathscr{N}(n) = \max_{\rho_{1,2}(0)} \sum_{\Delta_{1,2}(n)>0} \Delta_{1,2}(n)$. A lower bound for the measure is obtained just with a single pair that shows non-monotonic distinguishability evolution. In this experiment the initial state pairs were taken to be $\rho_1(0) = |H\rangle\langle H|$ and $\rho_2(0) = |V\rangle\langle V|$. We know from earlier studies that when frequency distribution is a single Gaussian it will lead to Markovian dynamics without local control [16, 29]. The above theoretical model includes the local control of the open system and also the interplay of the local controls and the correlations between the open system and the environment. Specifically we can explain how by adding suitable local control we can control the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics even for "Markovian" environment. This should be contrasted with a phenomenological approach where one adds the local control Hamiltonian to the the GKSL master equation. Such a master equation can not clearly be responsible for the increase of the trace distance.

3. Experiment

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. A femtosecond pulse (with a duration of about 150 fs, the operation wavelength at $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm and with a repetition rate of about 76 MHz)

generated from a Ti sapphire laser is used to generate arbitrary pure qubit states. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the laser is 6 nm and the laser was attenuated in order to neglect the two-photon coincidences. The photon states are initialized by PBS1 and the first half-wave plate into $|H\rangle\langle H|$ and $|V\rangle\langle V|$. We also use PBS2 together with the last half-wave plate and the quarter-wave plate for tomography. The environment is characterized by a single Gaussian peak with standard deviation $\sigma = 2.55$ nm. We use a half-wave plate and a quartz plate to realize the unitary control and decoherence which, when combined, is called a single operating unit U_1. In a single experiment, we have 20 sets of units from U_1 to U_{20} with the same angle of the HWPs (with respect to the optical axis) and the same thickness of the quartz plates. We can control the dephasing strength with the thickness of the quartz plate and have two different thichnesses at our disposal: 7.111 mm and 10.667 mm. We can choose which local control we implement by choosing the angle of the HWPs. We use five different angles φ_η: 9°, 18°, 22.5°, 28°, and 33°, corresponding to five different values of η: 0.9045, 0.6545, 0.5000, 0.3127 and 0.1654, respectively.



Fig. 1. The experimental setup. Key to the components: HWP–half-wave plate, QP–quartz plate, QWP–quarter-wave plate, PBS–polarizing beam splitter and SPD–single photon detector. The laser was attenuated into single photon states and initialised by PBS1 and the first half-wave plate into $|H\rangle\langle H|$ and $|V\rangle\langle V|$. After 20 steps of local control and interactions with the environment implemented by half-wave plates and quartz plates, the states are finally analysed by a quarter-wave plate, a half-wave plate and PBS2.

4. Result

The effect of the pure dephasing unitary of Eq. (2) without local control onto the open system is to shrink the Bloch sphere towards the z-axis along the directions parallel to x,y-plane, given our choice of initial environment state. Whenever $0 \leq \eta < 1$ the local control C_η is not diagonal in the H,V-basis and thus the effect of the local control is non-trivial. We also note that if $\eta = 0$ spin echo occurs in the open system dynamics, ie. a perfect recovery of the initial state after of even number steps.

First results show what is the effect of the interaction time for fixed local control ($\eta_i = \eta = \frac{1}{2}$) and for equally thick quartz plates at every step. In Figs. 2, 3 we have plotted the dynamics of the trace distance for initial qubit state pair $|H\rangle$ and $|V\rangle$ and the associated lower bound on the non-Markovianity. One can see from Fig. 2 how the longer interaction time is causing more dephasing during the initial step. This is easy to understand because for the first step the effect of the local control is just to rotate the initial states and the dephasing dynamics then moves both initial states towards the z-axis, hence making them less distinguishable. One should also note that the $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ rotates both initial states on the equator of the Bloch sphere and since the



Fig. 2. Trace distance dynamics for two different quartz plate thicknesses ΔL for parameters $\Delta n = 0.008995$, FWHM = 6 nm, $\lambda = 800$ nm and $\eta = 0.5$. Initial state pair is $|H\rangle$, $|V\rangle$. Filled markers are for experimental results and empty markers are for numerical simulations.



Fig. 3. Non-Markovianity for two different quartz plate thicknesses ΔL for parameters $\Delta n = 0.008995$, FWHM = 6 nm, $\lambda = 800$ nm and $\eta = 0.5$. Initial state pair is $|H\rangle$, $|V\rangle$. Filled markers are for experimental results and empty markers are from numerical simulations.

states are pure the distance parallel to the x,y-plane from the surface of the Bloch sphere to the z-axis is maximal. After the second step the local control starts to have an effect on the correlation build-up between the system and the environment. From Fig. 2 we can see that the more we dephase on the first step, the stronger the non-Markovianity is, Fig. 3. Interestingly the values of maximums of the trace distance values coincide but the minimas do not for $\Delta L = 80\lambda$ and $\Delta L = 120\lambda$. This means that for these cases the local control sets an upper bound on how well distinguishability can be restored. On the other hand, the dephasing strength sets a lower bound how indistinguishable states can become under the dynamics.

Next we study the effects of the η while keeping other parameters fixed but still keeping the quartz plate thickness and η uniform trough the whole experiment. We have plotted the trace distance dynamics on Fig. 4 and the associated non-Markovianity measure on Fig. 5. We have kept the initial state pair as before. Whenever $\eta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ the distance parallel to x,y-plane from the surface of the Bloch sphere to the z-axis is not maximal after the first local control operation. This can be seen from Fig. 4 where the decrease of the trace distance after the first step is maximal for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ and for the used initial state pair. When $\eta = 1$ theoretical model predicts Markovian dynamics. The behavior of the trace distance is in accordance with this finding since the structure becomes less oscillating as η is increasing. For $\eta < 0.9045$ the behavior of trace



Fig. 4. Trace distance dynamics for different values of η for parameters $\Delta n = 0.008995$, FWHM = 6 nm, $\lambda = 800$ nm and $\Delta L = 120\lambda$. Initial state pair is $|H\rangle$, $|V\rangle$. Filled markers are for the experimental data and empty markers are for the theoretical calculation.

distance dynamics is periodic with a period of four. This is in accordance with our experimental results. Also, when $\eta = 0$ then the trace distance dynamics should have a period of two. We can see that for $\eta = 0.1654$ the periodicity is not so clear anymore, for example the first two minimas are two steps apart ($n = 1, n = 3$). The dynamics in all of the cases is non-Markovian as can be seen from Fig. 4. When the units U_i are chosen uniformly ie. $U_i = U$, we verified numerically that in the large step number limit the open system dynamcis approaches a steady state logarithmically. The steady state is given by a statistical mixture that is diagonal in the eigenbasis of the local control $C_i = C$.

5. Conclusions

We show that using local control only on the open system it is possible to control the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics. From the point of view of quantum control our work shows that it is necessary to consider the control problems in terms of the full open system and environment picture since the controlled dynamics might not be Markovian even for Markovian environment. In the sight of open quantum system's research we show that the influence of the system-environment correlations can be controlled locally leading also to the control of amount of memory effects. Indeed, by locally rotating the quantum state we can engineer non-Markovian quantum evolution.



Fig. 5. Non-Markovianity for different values of η for parameters $\Delta n = 0.008995$, FWHM = 6 nm, $\lambda = 800$ nm and $\Delta L = 120\lambda$. Initial state pair is $|H\rangle$, $|V\rangle$. Filled markers are for the experimental data and empty markers are for the theoretical calculation.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation and Academy of Finland (Project no. 287750), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61327901, 11274289, 11325419), the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB01030300). C.F.L. and J.P. acknowledge financial support from the EU Collaborative project QuProCS (Grant Agreement 641277).